

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/004,552	12/05/2001	Gary Jennings	BR8669	7086	
7590 02/01/2005			EXAMINER		
The Black & Decker Corporation			SAETHER, FLEMMING		
701 East Joppa Towson, MD				PAPER NUMBER	
			3677		
				DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4					
J	10/004,552	JENNINGS ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
•	Flemming Saether	3677						
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 September 2004.							
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice ur	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 13-15</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 13-15 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	and/or election requirement							
Of Chairies are subject to restriction	anaror olookon roquilomenii							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex		abiasted to but he Francisco						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the								
application from the International E								
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08) 5) \(\bigcap \) Notice of in	oformal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
LS Patent and Trademark Office								

Art Unit: 3677

Specification

The title of the invention continues to be not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The examiner suggests, for example: --A blind rivet having multiple radial indentations--

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gossmann (US 5,496,140). Gossmann discloses a rivet comprising a mandrel (3) having a frangible portion (6) and a shell (2) having a flange (10) with a first (12) and second (11) sets of indentations along the shell spaced from the flange. Each of the indentations being non-continuous and non-secant shaped when viewed in a lateral direction transverse to the axial direction as in Fig. 1.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Smith (EPO 1 030 069). Smith discloses a rivet comprising a mandrel (4) having a frangible portion (not labeled) and a shell (2) having a flange (6) with a first (12) and second (14) sets of indentations along the shell spaced from the flange. A seen in Fig. 2, each of the indentations being non-continuous, as they are separated by members 16, and non-secant shaped.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 2-9 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gossmann or Smith as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lacey (US 4,958,971). Lacey teaches a rivet including a third indentation. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Gossmann or Smith with a third set of indentations as taught in Lacey in order to better control the deformation characteristics of the rivet for overall superior performance. The specific dimensions would have been recognized depending upon the particular use of the rivet. Also, the rivet of modified Gossmann or Smith would be capable of use in combination with the workpiece components as claimed.

Claims 1-9 and 13-15 are alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lacey (US 4,958,971) in view of Gossmann (US 5,469,140). Lacey discloses a rivet comprising a mandrel (13) having a frangible portion (19) and a shell (11) having a flange (16) with a first (27), second (28) and third (29) indentations along the shell spaced from the flange. Lacey discloses the indentations to be non-secant shaped however they are not non-continuous. Gossmann discloses a rivet having a shell with sets of indentations (11, 12) wherein the sets are non-continuous. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to form the indentations of Lacey in to non-continuous sets as disclosed in Gossmann in order to provide for a higher strength rivet connection. As discussed in Grossmann's

Summary of the Invention the non-continuous sets of indentations lead to less material attenuation and thus a stronger rivet connection.

Response to Remarks

Applicant's arguments regarding "non-annular" are moot since the claims have been amended to remove "non-annular".

Applicant argues that Gossmann does not disclose the indentation as being "non-secant" shaped since Fig. 2 shows a classic secant line. In response, the examiner agrees that the indentations are shown to be a secant in radial cross section as seen in Fig. 2. However, the claims do not specific as to orientation of the nonsecant shape and as such in the axial cross section in Fig. 1, the indentation are shown to be "non-secant". For that matter, there is an infinite number of other cross sectional planes which would show a non-secant shape. The examiner suggests the applicant claim the shape of the segments as to what they are and rather than what they are not. For example as described in applicants remarks "individual arcs or segments of a ring".

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/004,552 Page 5

Art Unit: 3677

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Flemming Saether whose telephone number is 703-308-0182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3677